Operational methods for Hermite polynomials with applications

CLEMENTE CESARANO, GERARDO MARIA CENNAMO, LUCA PLACIDI Faculty of Engineering, International Telematic University UNINETTUNO Corso Vittorio Emanulele II, 39 – 00186 Roma ITALY c.cesarano@uninettunouniversity.net, g.cennamo@uninettunouniversity.net, l.placidi@uninettunouniversity.net

Abstract: We exploit methods of operational nature to derive a set of new identities involving families of polynomials associated with operators providing different realizations of the Weyl group.

The identities, we will deal with, extend the Nielsen formulae, valid for ordinary Hermite to families of Hermitelike polynomials. It will also be shown that the underlying formalism yields the possibility of obtaining further identities relevant to multi-variable and multi-index polynomials.

Applications of higher order Hermite polynomials have been underlined for purpose of numerical simulation in continuous damage mechanics.

Keywords: Orthogonal Polynomials, Hermite, Weyl group, monomiality principle, generating functions.

1 Introduction

The use of the *monomiality principle* [1], a by-product of the Lie group treatment of special functions [2,3], has offered a powerful tool for studying the properties of families of special functions and polynomials. Within the context of such a treatment, a polynomial $p_n(x)$ is said *quasi-monomial* (*q.m.*), if two operators exist and act on the polynomial as a derivative and multiplicative operators respectively, namely:

$$\hat{M} p_n(x) = p_{n+1}(x)$$

$$\hat{P} p_n(x) = n p_{n-1}(x).$$
(1)

In the case of two-variable Kampé de Fériet polynomials [1,4,5], we have:

$$H_n(x, y) = n! \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{y^r x^{n-2r}}{r!(n-2r)!} = (-i\sqrt{y})^n H_n\left(\frac{ix}{2\sqrt{y}}\right),$$
(2)

where the associated multiplication and derivative operators, are identified as:

$$\hat{M} = x + 2y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

$$\hat{P} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$
(3)

According to what has been discussed in reference [1], if $p_0(x) = 1$, then $p_n(x)$ can be explicitly constructed as:

$$\hat{M}^{n}(1) = p_{n}(x),$$
 (4)

thus, in the case of Hermite, we obtain:

$$\left(x + 2y\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^n (1) = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} (2y)^r H_{n-r}(x,y) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^r (1) =$$

$$= H_n(x,y).$$
(5)

The above identity is essentially the Burchnall operational formula, whose proof can be found in the papers [6,7]; in the next section, where the problem is treated in a wider context, we will see a generalization of this identity.

By using the above relations, we can immediately state the following identity:

$$H_{2n}(x,y) = \left(x + 2y\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^n \left(x + 2y\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^n (1) .$$
 (6)

It is easy, in fact to note that the r.h.s. of the equation (6) could be written as:

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n} \binom{n}{r} (2y)^{r} H_{n-r}(x, y) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{r} H_{n}(x, y) .$$

In the paper [1], we have stated many relevant relations regarding the two-variable Hermite polynomials, in particular it is also possible to obtain the following statement:

$$\frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^r} H_n(x, y) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!} H_{n-r}(x, y) , \qquad (7)$$

which is useful to rewrite the relation (6) in the form:

$$H_{2n}(x,y) = (n!)^2 \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{(2y)^{n-r} \left[H_r(x,y)\right]^2}{(r!)^2 (n-r)!} \,.$$
(8)

By following an analogous procedure it is possible to derive these relevant relations satisfied by the twovariable Hermite polynomials:

$$H_{n+m}(x, y) = \sum_{r=0}^{[n,m]} \binom{n}{r} \binom{m}{r} r! (2y)^{n-r} H_{n-r}(x, y) H_{m-r}(x, y),$$

$$\left[H_n(x, y)\right]^2 = (n!)^2 \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{(-2y)^{n-r} H_{2r}(x, y)}{(r!)^2 (n-r)!},$$

$$H_n(x, y) H_m(x, y) = n! m! \sum_{r=0}^{[n,m]} \frac{(-2y)^{n-r} H_{n+m-2r}(x, y)}{r! (n-r)! (m-r)!},$$
(9)

where we have indicated with [n,m] the minimum of (n,m).

These identities can be viewed as an extension of those derived by Nielsen [2], for the ordinary case. The paper consists of three sections. In section II we will discuss higher order Kampé de Fériet Hermite polynomials and the associated identities; section III is devoted to final remarks and comments on the possible extension of the method presented to other families recognized as Hermite polynomials.

2 Operational rules and higher order Hermite polynomials

In the paper [4], we have seen the two-variable Hermite polynomials of order $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \ge 2$, defined by the series:

$$H_n^{(m)}(x,y) = n! \sum_{r=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{m}\right]} \frac{y^r x^{n-mr}}{r!(n-mr)!}.$$
 (10)

It is immediately easy to observe that these polynomials could be recognized as *quasi-monomial* under the action of the following operators:

$$\hat{M} = x + my \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial x^{m-1}}$$

$$\hat{P} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$
(11)

Moreover, it is possible to introduce a further generalization, by considering the case of m-variable Hermite polynomials of order m, by setting:

$$H_{n}^{(m)}(x_{1},...,x_{m}) = n! \sum_{r=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{m}\right]} \frac{H_{n-mr}^{(m-1)}(x_{1},...,x_{m})x_{m}^{r}}{r!(n-mr)!}.$$
 (12)

This family of Hermite polynomials is also *quasimonomial* with the related operators:

$$\hat{M} = x_1 + \sum_{s=2}^{m} sx_s \frac{\partial^{s-1}}{\partial x_1^{s-1}}$$

$$\hat{P} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$
(13)

In the paper [1], presenting the concepts and the related formalism of the *monomiality principle*, we stated the following identity:

$$\hat{M} \stackrel{\circ}{P} p_n(x) = p_n(x), \qquad (14)$$

which implies that the present families of polynomials satisfy the differential equations:

$$\left(my\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m} + x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)H_n^{(m)}(x,y) = nH_n^{(m)}(x,y),$$
(15)

$$\left(\sum_{s=2}^{m} sx_{s} \frac{\partial^{s}}{\partial x_{1}^{s}} + x_{1} \frac{\partial^{s}}{\partial x_{1}}\right) H_{n}^{(m)}(x_{1},...,x_{m}) = nH_{n}^{(m)}(x_{1},...,x_{m}).$$
(16)

We prove, now, an important extension of the Weyl identity, that is:

$$e^{\xi\left(x+\frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial x^{n}}\right)} = e^{x\xi+\frac{\xi^{n+1}}{n+1}} e^{\sum_{r=0}^{(n-1)} \frac{n!\xi^{r+1}}{(n-r)!(r+1)!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{n-r}},$$
(17)

where ξ being a parameter.

If we consider the exponential operator:

$$S\left(\hat{A},\hat{B};\xi\right) = e^{\xi\left(\hat{A}+\hat{B}^{n}\right)}$$
(18)

where ξ is a parameter and \hat{A} and \hat{B} denote operator such that:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{A}, \hat{B} \end{bmatrix} = \hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A} = k ,$$

with k commuting with both of them.

The decoupling theorem for the exponential operator (18) can be proved as follows. By keeping the derivative of both sides with respect to ξ , we get:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}S\left(\hat{A},\hat{B};\xi\right) = \left(\hat{A}+\hat{B}^{n}\right)S\left(\hat{A},\hat{B};\xi\right),\tag{19}$$

and, after setting:

$$S\left(\hat{A},\hat{B};\xi\right) = e^{\xi\hat{A}}\Sigma$$
,

and by using the relation:

$$e^{-\xi \hat{A}} \hat{B}^{n} e^{\xi \hat{A}} = \left(\hat{B} - \xi k \right)^{n},$$

we finally find:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\Sigma = \left(\hat{B} - \xi k\right)^n \Sigma, \qquad (20)$$

which can be easily integrated. Thus getting in conclusion:

$$S\left(\stackrel{\wedge}{A},\stackrel{\wedge}{B};\xi\right) = e^{\xi \stackrel{\wedge}{A}} e^{\sum_{r=0}^{n} \binom{n}{r} \frac{B^{n-r}}{r+1} (-1)^{r}}.$$
(21)

It is immediately to note that identity (17) follows as a particular case with:

 $\hat{A} = x$ $\hat{B} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$

The generalization of the Weyl identity, which we have

Clemente Cesarano, Gerardo Maria Cennamo, Luca Placidi

proved above, allows us to derive the following generalized Burchnall identity:

$$\left(x + my \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial x^{m-1}}\right)^n = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} H_{n-r}^{(m)}(x, y) H_r^{(m-1)}(x, y) \left(\left\{G\right\}_{s=0}^{m-2}\right), (22)$$

where we have indicated with G the expression:

$$G = \frac{m(m-1)! y}{(m-1-s)!(s+1)!} \frac{\partial^{m-1-s}}{\partial x^{m-1-s}} .$$

The relation in (22), for m = 3, specializes as:

$$\left(x+3y\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right)^2 = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} H_{n-r}^{(3)}(x,y) H_r\left(3y\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}, 3y\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right), \quad (23)$$

An immediate application of these last identities is the derivation of the following Nielsen formula:

$$H_{2n}^{(m)}(x,y) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} {n \choose r} H_{n-r}^{(m)}(x,y) F_{n,r}^{(m-1)}(x,y) , \qquad (24)$$

where:

$$F_{n,r}^{(m-1)}(x,y) = = H_r^{(m-1)} \left[\left\{ \frac{m(m-1)!y}{(m-1-r)!(r+1)!} \frac{\partial^{m-1-s}}{\partial x^{m-1-s}} \right\}_{r=0}^{m-2} \right] H_n^{(m)}(x,y).$$
(25)

The explicit form of the $F_{n,r}^{(m-1)}(x, y)$ polynomials can be evaluated fairly straightforwardly; in the case m = 3, we get indeed:

$$F_{n,r}^{(2)}(x,y) = s! \sum_{r=0}^{\left[\frac{s}{2}\right]} \frac{(3y)^{s-r}(2s-3r)!H_{n-(2s-3r)}^{(3)}(x,y)}{(s-2r)!r![n-(2s-3r)]!}.$$
 (26)

A further application of the so far developed method is associated with the derivation of generating functions of the type:

$$G_l^{(m)}(x, y; t) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} H_{n+l}^{(m)}(x, y) .$$
(27)

Before to proceed, let us remind that [7]:

$$e^{\alpha \frac{\partial^{s}}{\partial x_{1}^{s}}} H_{n}^{(m)}(x_{1},...,x_{m}) = \begin{cases} H_{n}^{(m)}(x_{1},...,\alpha + x_{s},...,x_{m}), \ s \le m\\ H_{n}^{(m)}(x_{1},...,\alpha_{m},...,\alpha), \ s > m \end{cases}$$
(28)

E-ISSN: 2224-2880

$$G_{l}^{(m)}(x, y; t) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \left(x + my \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial x^{m-1}} \right)^{n} H_{l}^{(m)}(x, y),$$
(29)

i.e.

$$G_{l}^{(m)}(x, y; t) = e^{\left(x + my \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial x^{m-1}}\right)^{t}} H_{l}^{(m)}(x, y) .$$
(30)

Finally, by using the relation (28), we can obtain the relevant operational expression:

$$G_{l}^{(m)}(x, y; t) = e^{xt + yt^{m}} H_{l}^{(m)} \left(x + ymt^{m-1}, \frac{m(m-1)y}{2}t^{m-2}, ..., y \right). (31)$$

These last results complete the preliminary conclusions obtained in references [5,7]. In the next and last section will be presented further comments on the families of Hermite-like [8,9] polynomials and will be derived interesting operational rules.

3 Operational rules and multi-index Hermite polynomials

The method described in the previous sections is devoted to the operational rules of polynomials characterized by a single index and, eventually, more than one variable. In this section we will outline the technique to extend the method to multi-index polynomials [10,11,12,13]. In particular, the structure and some interesting properties of the incomplete 2dimensional Hermite polynomials, we will consider this family as example to generalize the operational method shown previously.

Let us remind that the incomplete 2-dimensional Hermite polynomials are defined by the series:

$$h_{m,n}(x, y \mid \tau) = m! n! \sum_{r=0}^{[m,n]} \frac{\tau^r x^{m-r} y^{n-r}}{r!(m-r)!(n-r)!},$$
(32)

where $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $[m, n] = \min(m, n)$ and their generating function has the form:

$$\exp(xu + yv + \tau uv) = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{u^m}{m!} \frac{v^n}{n!} h_{m,n}(x, y \mid \tau) .$$
(33)

By noting that (see [1,7]), the two-variable Kampé de

Fériet Hermite polynomials could be defined also by the following operational expression:

$$H_n(x,y) = e^{y\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}} x^n,$$
(34)

it is easy to derive the analogous relation for the polynomials $h_{m,n}(x, y | \tau)$; we have, indeed:

$$e^{-\tau \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y}}(x^m y^n) = h_{m,n}(x, y \mid \tau).$$
(35)

In the first section we have presented the Burchnall identity, see equation (5), and we have stated a generalization for the case of two-variable Hermite polynomials of order m, in section II, by equation (22). Before to proceed, it could be useful to exploit the procedure of generalization of this important identity. Let consider the operator:

$$\hat{O}_n = \left(x + my \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial x^{m-1}}\right)^n,$$
(36)

by multiplying both sides by:

$$\frac{t^n}{n!}, t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and then by summing up, we get:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \hat{O}_n = e^{t \left(x + my \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial x^{m-1}} \right)}.$$
(37)

By using the generalized Weyl identity (eq. (21)), proved in the previous section, we can rearrange the above relation in the form:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \stackrel{\wedge}{O}_n = e^{xt + yt^m} e^{\sum_{r=0}^{(m-2)} \frac{m! yt^{r+1}}{(m-1-r)!(r+1)!} \frac{\partial^{m-1-r}}{\partial x^{m-1-r}}},$$
(38)

and, since the generating functions of the generalized Hermite polynomials of order m, are [1,4]:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} H_n^{(m)}(x, y) = e^{xt + yt^m},$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} H_n^{(m)}(x_1, ..., x_m) = e^{\sum_{s=1}^m x_s t^s},$$
(39)

we can rewritten the r.h.s. of the relation (38) as the

product of two series involving the generalized Hermite polynomials of order *m* and we finally obtain:

$$\hat{O}_{n} = \sum_{s=0}^{n} {n \choose s} H_{n-s}^{(m)}(x, y) H_{s}^{(m-1)} \left\{ \frac{m! y}{(m-1-r)!(r+1)!} \frac{\partial^{m-1-r}}{\partial x^{m-1-r}} \right\}_{r=0}^{m-2}$$
(40)

which complete prove the generalized Burchnall identity (22).

It is now immediate to derive a further generalization for the incomplete 2-dimensional Hermite polynomials discussed in this section. We can indeed exploit the operational rule stated in the equation (35) to derive the following Burchnall-type identity:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x - \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix}^m \left(y - \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^n =$$

$$= \sum_{p=0}^m \sum_{q=0}^n \binom{m}{p} \binom{n}{q} (-\tau)^{p+q} h_{m-p,n-q}(x, y \mid \tau) \frac{\partial^p}{\partial y^p} \frac{\partial^q}{\partial x^q}.$$

$$(41)$$

In section I, we have stated relevant operational identities for the two-variable Hermite polynomials as presented in the relation (9); it is immediately to note that the polynomials $h_{m,n}(x, y | \tau)$ satisfied the following identity:

$$h_{2m,2n}(x, y \mid \tau) = \left(x - \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^m \left(y - \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^n h_{m,n}(x, y \mid \tau), \qquad (42)$$

and then, from the formula (41), we can obtain the relevant operational identity :

$$h_{2m,2n}(x, y \mid \tau) = (m!n!)^{2} \sum_{p=0}^{m} \sum_{q=0}^{n} \frac{(-\tau)^{p+q}}{p!q![(m-p)!]^{2}[(n-q)!]^{2}} \Big[h_{m-p,n-q}(x, y \mid \tau)\Big]^{2} (43)$$

The aspects and the related considerations presented in this paper could be investigate in a deeper way in a forthcoming investigations in the fields of nonlinear dynamics [14], continuum mechanics [15] and robustness-oriented design [16]. It is important to remark that many of the operational rules presented here could be generalized for a wide range of Hermite-like polynomials. Moreover, the structure of the operational techniques here described is also possible to be extended to other classes of polynomials as the Laguerre and Legendre families. Also about this last point, we will discuss in a future paper.

4 Conclusions and applications to continuum damage mechanics

Continuum damage mechanics [17] is a tool to take into account various damage processes at a macroscopic level. At that level, it is experienced that the global response of uniaxial tests cannot be homogeneous because of the presence of strain localizations [18], for quasi-static or [19] for dynamic situations. Accordingly, one must introduce some characteristic lengths in order to penalize the too localized deformations. That leads to the concept of non-local damage model [20]. Such strain localization phenomena as acceleration waves and loss of ellipticity in media with microstructure modeled by Cosserat continuum were investigated in [21,22].

The non-local approach implies non-local terms in the action for controlling the size of the localization zone, and this is accomplished with the insertion of the first gradient of the damage parameter in the internal energy, e.g., in the action functional in the remarkable works by Marigo and his coworkers [23]. In other works, such a non-locality is accomplished [24,25] by the insertion in the same functional of the second gradient of displacement. In [24] the deformation energy functional E among the fact that is not only a functional of the displacement field $u_i(X_i,t)$ but also of the damage field $\omega(X_i,t)$, the damaged material is interpreted as a microstructured material and it depends upon the second gradient of the displacement in the following way:

$$E = E\left(u_i\left(X_i, t\right), \omega\left(X_i, t\right)\right) = \int_B^{\infty} U\left(G_{ij}, G_{ij,h}, \omega\right) - \int_B^{ext} u_{\alpha} + \int_{\partial B} t_{\alpha}^{ext} u_{\alpha} - \int_{\partial B} \tau_{\alpha}^{ext} u_{\alpha,j} \eta_j - \int_{\partial \partial B} u_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^{ext}$$

where b_{α}^{ext} , t_{α}^{ext} , τ_{α}^{ext} and f_{α}^{ext} are the external actions and, as usually done, because of the principle of objectivity, it is introduced the finite measure of deformation G_{ij} as follows:

$$\begin{split} 2G_{ij} &= F_{hi}F_{hj} - \delta_{ij} \,, \\ F_{ij} &= \delta_{ij} + H_{ij} \,, \\ H_{ij} &= u_{ij} \,, \end{split}$$

and set the deformation density energy functional to depend not only upon ω and G_{ii} , but also upon its gradient $G_{ii,h}$.

The use of finite element method to solve numerically the equations of local continuum mechanics, i.e. the equations that

govern the dynamics of a continuous body the deformation energy of which depends only upon the first gradient of the displacement field, is standard. In non-local continuum mechanics this procedure need suitable generalization, because the order of the differential equations involved is higher than usual. This means that the basis functions on which the solution is projected should be proper Hermitian basis function. In [24] the body is one-dimensional and proper generalization to two dimensional case will appear soon. For two- or three dimensional body it is clear that proper multiindex Hermite polynomials will be necessary as well as optimization of this choice with respect to the problem to be solved.

Besides, in damage mechanics there is another difficulty to be overcame. In fact, the procedure to find the minimum of a deformation energy functional \tilde{U} is standard for arbitrary variations δu_i and $\delta \omega$ of the fields $u_i(X_i, t)$ and $\omega(X_i, t)$.

The difficulty of this problem is that damage variations $\delta \omega$ are admissible only if positive, i.e., $\delta \omega \ge 0$. Thus, in order to find the evolution equations, we assume that the motion $u_i(X_i, t)$ and $\omega(X_i, t)$ verifies the condition:

$$\delta E \left(u_i(X_i,t), \omega(X_i,t), u_i(X_i,t), \dot{\omega}(X_i,t) \right) \leq$$

$$\delta E \left(u_i(X_i,t), \omega(X_i,t), \upsilon, \beta \right),$$

$$\forall \upsilon, \forall \beta \geq 0$$

$$(44)$$

where v and β are compatible virtual velocity fields starting from the configuration $u_i(X_i,t)$ and $\omega(X_i,t)$ and superimposed dot represents the derivative with respect to time. To proceed, we must estimate the first variation:

$$\delta E = \int_{B} \frac{\partial U}{\partial G_{ij}} \delta G_{ij} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial G_{ij,h}} \delta G_{ij,h} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \omega} \delta \omega - \int_{B} b_{\alpha}^{ext} \delta u_{\alpha} - \int_{\partial B} t_{\alpha}^{ext} \delta u_{\alpha} - \int_{\partial B} \tau_{\alpha}^{ext} \delta u_{\alpha,j} \eta_{j} - \int_{\partial \partial B} \delta u_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^{ext}$$

Once we integrate by parts, we have:

$$\delta E = -\int_{B} \delta u_{\alpha} \left[\left(F_{\alpha i} \left(S_{ij} - P_{ijh,h} \right) \eta_{j} + b_{\alpha}^{ext} \right) \right] + \int_{B} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \omega} \delta \omega +$$
$$+ \int_{\partial B} \left(\delta u_{\alpha} \right) \left(t_{\alpha} - t_{\alpha}^{ext} \right) + \delta u_{\alpha,j} \eta_{j} \left(\tau_{\alpha} - \tau_{\alpha}^{ext} \right) + \int_{\partial \partial B} \delta u_{\alpha} \left(f_{\alpha} - f_{\alpha}^{ext} \right)$$

where the so called contact force is:

$$t_{\alpha} = F_{\alpha i} \left(S_{ij} - P_{ijh,h} \right) \eta_{j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{c}} \left(F_{\alpha i} P_{ihj} t_{h} \eta_{j} \right),$$

the contract double force is:

$$\tau_{\alpha} = F_{\alpha i} P_{ijk} \eta_j \eta_k \,,$$

the wedge force is:

$$f_i = \sum_{c=1}^m \left[F_{\alpha i} P_{i h j} t_h \eta_j \right]_{s_c^i}^{s_c^f} ,$$

the stress and hyper stress are:

$$S_{ij} = \stackrel{\circ}{\frac{\partial U}{\partial G_{ij}}}, \quad P_{ijk} = \stackrel{\circ}{\frac{\partial U}{\partial G_{ij,k}}},$$

where the boundary ∂B is the union of *m* regular parts, and the points $\partial \partial B$ the union of the corresponding *m* vertices points V_c :

$$\partial B = \bigcup_{c=1}^m \partial_c B$$
, $\partial \partial B = \bigcup_{c=1}^m V_c$,

 η_i and t_i are the outward normal and the tangent normal to ∂B , and s_c is the curvilinear abscissa of each regular part, $s_c \in (s_c^i, s_c^f)$. An outlook of this work is therefore to find proper Hermite polynomials for this continuous damage problem. The idea to explore in further investigation is to find the proper Hermite polynomials to solve the boundary-valued problem directly from the weak formulation expressed in (44).

References:

- [1] Cesarano, C., "Monomiality Principle and related operational techniques for Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions", *Int. Journal of Pure Mathematics*, Vol. 1, pp. 1-7, 2014
- [2] Miller, W., *Lie Theory and Special functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [3] Cesarano, C., Assante, D., "A note on generalized Bessel functions", *Int. J. of Math. Models and Methods in Appl. Sci.*, 7 (6), pp 625-629, 2013.
- [4] Dattoli, G., Cesarano, C., "On a new family of Hermite polynomials associated to parabolic cylinder functions", *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 141 (1), pp. 143-149, 2003.
- [5] Appell, P., Kampé de Fériet, J., "Fonctions hypergéométriques et hypersphériques. Polinomes d'Hermite", *Gauthier-Villars*, Paris, 1926.

- [6] Gould, H.W., Hopper, A.T., "Operational formulas connected with two generalizations of Hermite polynomials", *Duke Math. J.*, 29 pp. 51-62 (1962).
- [7] Burchnall, J.L., "A note on the polynomials of Hermite", *Quarterly ournal of Mathematics*, os-12 (1), pp. 9-11, (1941).
- [8] Simian, D. "On some Hermite bivariate interpolation schemes", WSEAS Transactions on Mathematics, Vol. 5, Issue 12, pp. 1322-1329, 2006.
- [9] Mathioudakis, E.N., Papadopoulou, E.P., Saridakis, Y.G., "Preconditioning for solving Hermite Collocation by the Bi-CGSTAB", WSEAS Transactions on Mathematics, Vol. 5, Issue 7, pp. 811-816, 2006.
- [10] Dattoli, G., Lorenzutta, Cesarano, C., "Bernestein polynomials and operational methods", *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*, 8 (4), pp. 369-377, 2006.
- [11] Cesarano, C., Germano, B., Ricci, P.E., "Laguerretype Bessel functions", Integral Transforms and Special Functions, 16 (4), pp. 315-322, 2005.
- [12] Dattoli, G., Lorenzutta, S., Ricci, P.E., Cesarano, C.,
 "On a family of hybrid polynomials", *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 15 (6), pp. 485-490, 2004.
- [13] Cesarano, C., "Identities and generating functions on Chebyshev polynomials", *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, 19 (3), pp. 427-440, 2012.
- [14] Andreaus, U., Chiaia, B. Placidi, L., Soft-impact dynamics of deformable bodies. Continumm mechanics and thermodynamics, vol. 25, p. 375-398 (2013).
- [15] Placidi L., dell'Isola, F., Ianiro, N., Sciarra, G., Variational formulation of pre-stressed solid-fluid mixture theory, with an application to wave phenomena. European Journal of Mechanics A, Solids, vol. 27(4), p. 582-606, (2008).
- [16] Cennamo, G.M., Cennamo, C., Chiaia, B., Robustness-oriented design of a panel-based shelter system in critical sites. Journal of Architectural Engineering, 18(2), pp. 123-139, (2012).
- [17] A. Krajcinovic, D., Rinaldi, A., Statistical Damage Mechanics 1. Theory, J. Appl. Mech., Vol 72, pp 76-85 (2005)
- [18] B. Ferretti, M., Madeo, A., dell'Isola, F., Boisse, P., Modeling the onset of shear boundary layers, in fibrous composite reinforcements by secondgradient theory, Zeitschrift fur Angewandte Mathematik und Physik, 1-26, (2013).
- [19] C. Luongo, A., Mode localization in dynamics and buckling of linear imperfect continuous structures, Non- linear Dynamics, 25 (1-3), pp. 133-156 (2001).

- [20] D. Yang, Y., Misra, A., Micromechanics based second gradient continuum theory for shear band modeling in cohesive granular materials following damage elasticity, International Journal of Solids and Structures, 49, 2500-2514, (2012).
- [21] E. Altenbach, H., Eremeyev, V.A., Lebedev, L,P., Rendon, L,A., Acceleration waves and ellipticity in ther moelastic micropolar media, Archive of Applied Mechanics, Volume: 80, Issue: 3, 217-227, 2010.
- [22] F. Eremeyev, V.A., Acceleration waves in micropolar elastic media. Doklady Physics Volume: 50, Issue: 4, 204-206, (2005).
- [23] G. Pham, K., Marigo J.-J., Maurini, C., The issue of the uniqueness and the stability of the homogeneous response in uniaxial tests with gradient damage models, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 59, pp. 1163{1190 (2011).
- [24] H. Placidi, L., A variational approach for a 1dimensional second gradient and nonlinear continuum damage model, Continuum Mechanics and Thermodynamics, in press (2014)
- [25] Grammenoudis, P., Tsakmakis, C., "Properties of a class of continuum damage models" WSEAS Transactions on Mathematics, Vol. 5, Issue 3, pp.329-333, 2006.